

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/089,443	03/29/2002	Akio Satou	12412/1	6966
7:	. 07/21/2003			
Charles R Brainard			EXAMINER	
Kenyon & Kenyon			JOHNSON, JONATHAN J	
One Broadway				
New York, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
		•	DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003	5
		•	DATE MAILED. VIIZIZOUS	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	A	10/089,443	SATOU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jonathan Johnson	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period fo	r Reply Drtened Statutory Period for Reply	US SET TO EXPIRE 3 MG	ONTH(S) FROM				
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
earne Status	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) 	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	April 2002 .					
2a)□	•	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows		ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
• •	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
ر د	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority document		pplication No				
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
L							

Application/Control Number: 10/089,443

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1-6 provide for the use of a laser beam machine but does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krause et al. (5,886,313). With respect to claim 1, Krause et al. teach a method of laser beam machining wherein a plurality of laser diode arrays are disposed in such a manner as to allow radiation of laser beams in a direction of a width of a part to be processed (abstract and Figure 11, Item 15); and wherein each of the laser diode arrays is controlled in accordance with the direction of the width of the part to be processed so as to shape laser beams and irradiate the part to be processed with the laser beams (column 12, Lines 15-21).

. ·

Application/Control Number: 10/089,443

Art Unit: 1725

Ÿ

With respect to Claim 2, the teachings of Krause et al. are the same as relied upon in the rejection of Claim 1. Krause et al. teach each of the laser diode arrays is controlled and laser beams are shaped such that the distribution of energy is changed in accordance with a width poison of the part to be processed (abstract and Column 12, Lines 15-41).

With respect to Claim 3, the teachings of Krause et al. are the same as relied upon in the rejection of Claim 2. Krause et al. teach the distribution of energy is changed by controlling each of the laser diode arrays and shaping the laser beams such that laser beams with which the part to be processed is irradiated in its widthwise marginal portions exhibit a higher intensity than laser beams to which the part to be processed is irradiated in its widthwise central portion (Figure 11a, Item 36).

With respect to Claim 4, the teachings of Krause et al. are the same as relied upon in the rejection of Claim 1. Krause et al. teach the distribution the laser beam machining is a processing which is selected from padding, welding, and hardening and to which the part to be processed is subjected (abstract and Column 12, lines 15-42).

With respect to Claim 5, the teachings of Krause et al. are the same as relied upon in the rejection of Claim 2. Krause et al. teach the distribution the laser beam machining is a processing which is selected from padding, welding, and hardening and to which the part to be processed is subjected (abstract and Column 12, lines 15-42).

Application/Control Number: 10/089,443 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

With respect to Claim 6, the teachings of Krause et al. are the same as relied upon in the rejection of Claim 3. Krause et al. teach the distribution the laser beam machining is a processing which is selected from padding, welding, and hardening and to which the part to be processed is subjected (abstract and Column 12, lines 15-42).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 703-308-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

July 7, 2003